EXHIBIT K

Picard v. Merkin

Pg 2 of 3

Jason Orchard 10-8-13

Page 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

In Re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT

Adv.Pro.No.

SECURITIES LLC,

08-01789 (BRL)

Debtor.

-----x

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

Adv.Pro.No.

09-1182 (BRL)

v.

J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL,
L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT
PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

-----х

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of JASON L. ORCHARD, as reported by NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR and Notary Public of the States of New York and New Jersey, at the offices of BAKER HOSTETLER, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York on Tuesday, October 8, 2013, commencing at 10 a.m.

Picard v. Merkin

Pg 3 of 3

Iason Orchard 10-8-13

Pic	ard v. Merkin		Jason Orchard 10-8-13
	Page (66	Page 68
1	MR. SONG: Let me strike that.	1	more managed or discretion of the trades more and
2	Q. Did you have an understanding as to	2	E
3	what the investment strategies were for Ariel and	3	1 5
4	Gabriel?	4	
5	A. Yes.	5	
6	Q. What were those investment	6	
7	strategies?	7	ž č
8	A. It was a fund focused on primarily	8	
9	corporate credit, and within corporate credit	9	
0	primarily distressed and opportunistic investments.	10	
11	Q. Do you have an understanding as to	11	
12	what the investment strategy was for Ascot Partners?	12	
13	A. I understood Ascot to be primarily a	13	
14	Madoff feeder fund.	14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	Q. And what about Ascot Fund; what was	15	
16	your understanding of their strategy?	16	MR. KREISSMAN: Object to form.
17	A. The same.	17	
18	Q. When you used the term "Madoff feeder	18	diligence for both with both of those
9	fund," what do you mean by that?	19	investments.
20	A. The fund managed by Ezra Merkin that	20	
21	gave Bernard Madoff and his firm trading discretion	21	knowledge?
22	when opportunities within certain guidelines were	22	A. The discussions with our other
23	met.	23	ee ee
24	Q. What was your understanding as to the	24	, and the second
25	amount of Ascot's allocation to BLMIS?	25	Q. Were there any due diligence files
	Page (67	Page 69
1	MR. KREISSMAN: Objection, form.	1	related to Mr. Merkin's funds when you started at
2	A. I had understood that over time it	2	Spring Mountain Capital?
3	became virtually all of the the trading was done	3	MR. KREISSMAN: Object to form,
4	at BLMIS. When it was not traded, the assets were	4	vague.
5	held at within Ezra under Ezra's control.	5	A. I don't recall seeing any.
6	MR. KREISSMAN: Are you asking that	6	Q. Did you conduct ongoing due diligence
7	question or that's why I objected because the	7	on Ascot, Ariel or Gabriel?
8	word allocation, I didn't know what you meant,	8	A. Eventually, yes.
9	allocated as a percentage of what.	9	Q. When?
0	MR. SONG: I did mean the amount of	10	A. Can't I don't remember the exact
11	funds under Ascot's control being traded at BLMIS.	11	dates, but as the Aozora relationship evolved I
12	MR. KREISSMAN: Okay.	12	C 1
13	Q. So, thank you for your answer.	13	,
14	You testified that you understood	14	
15	that over time it became virtually all the trading	15	
16	was done at BLMIS. When did that when did it	16	
17	become virtually all at BLMIS?	17	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	MR. KREISSMAN: Object to form.	18	,
19	A. I'm not sure when it became all, but	19	ε ,
20	in my diligence meeting with Mr. Merkin it was	20	
21	suggested that he would do he would attempt to	21	3 1 6
22	execute a similar strategy on his own and was not,	22	1
23 24	as time evolved he was not able to achieve the	23	, , ,
	results that Bernard Madoff and his firm were able	24	
25	to achieve, so over time the fund became more and	25	Management?